

# Interview Summary

Application No.

09/978,515

Applicant(s)

ZARE

Examiner

THERKORN

Art Unit

1723

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) K. Alison de Runtz

(3)

(2) E. Thekorn

(4)

Date of Interview 5/28/03

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☐ Personal (copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative)Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: The elected claims

Identification of prior art discussed:

Kato (Journal of Chromatography 924(2001) 187-195 and  
Dulay (Analytical Chemistry Vol. 73 No. 16 Aug 15, 2001)Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:


The examiner initiated the interview to attempt to  
place the case in condition for allowance. No agreement was  
reached

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required